IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 26TH OCTOBER 2007 / 4TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 28074 of 2007(P)
---
CMA.18/2006 of SUB COURT, TIRUR
.....

PETITIONERS:
---
1. MOHANAN, S/O. VELAYUDHAN,
THAMARASSERI HOUSE, ERAMANGALAM P.O.,
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. K.V.MOHANAN, S/O. VELAYUDHAN,
KIZHUPPADU VALAPPIL HOUSE, PALLIKKARA P.O.,
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

3. UMMER, S/O. MOIDUNNI,
KULANGARA VEETTIL HOUSE, PALLIKKARA P.O.,
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMACHANDRAN
SMT.R.MEERA

RESPONDENTS:
---
1. AYISAKUTTY, W/O. N.MOIDUNNIKUTTY,
WARIYATHU VALAPPIL HOUSE, PALLIKKARA P.O.,
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. NURUKILAYIL MOIDUNNIKUTTY,
NURUKILAYIL HOUSE, POST PALLIKKARA,
PONNANI TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 26/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**M.N.Krishnan, J.**

**==========**

**W.P(C).No.28074 of 2007**

**==========**

**Dated this the 26th day of October, 2007.**

**JUDGMENT**

This Writ Petition is preferred against the order of the Subordinate Judge, Tirur in C.M.A.No.18 of 2006. There was an application for interim injunction and there was an order restraining the respondents from interfering with the business of the petitioners until further orders. This was reversed by the Appellate Court in the C.M.A.

2. I am informed that the defendants in the suit had filed a Rent Control Petition for eviction of a person whom they allege as their tenant. It is submitted that the plaintiffs are in possession of the premises. At this stage, I do not want to go into the merit or demerit of the case and it will be sufficient if a direction is given to the learned Munsiff to dispose of O.S.No.170 of 2006 as expeditiously

as possible, within a period of four months from today. Till that time, the status quo will continue.

Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

M.N.Krishnan,
Judge.

ess 26/10